## arm

## TF-M Dual-cpu NS Mailbox Improvement

#### **Enhance integration with NS environment**

David Hu 2020 Nov

### Agenda

- TF-M dual-cpu NS mailbox enhancement
  - Simplify NS RTOS port
- Enhance NS mailbox working model
  - A new working model to support NS applications isolation
  - Refine working model configuration

## **Orm** NS mailbox enhancement

+ + + + + + + + + + + + + +

+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + +

<u>© 2020 Arm Limited (or its affiliates)</u>

### Various RTOS modules distributed in TF-M

- Semaphores inside PSA Client API implementation
  - A dedicated API set outside NS mailbox

```
uint32_t psa_framework_version(void)
{
    if (tfm_ns_multi_core_lock_acquire() != OS_WRAPPER_SUCCESS) {
        return PSA_VERSION_NONE;
    }
    /* mailbox handling */
    if (tfm_ns_multi_core_lock_release() != OS_WRAPPER_SUCCESS) {
        return PSA_VERSION_NONE;
    }
}
```

- Part of thread mgmt. placed in platform specific driver
  - RTOS specific thread mgmt.
  - Platform independent
- Improve goals:
  - Sort out mailbox interface
  - Improve dependencies on RTOS





### Simplify NS mailbox API

- A single NS mailbox API tfm\_ns\_mailbox\_client\_call()
  - Combine various NS mailbox APIs
  - Avoid exporting NS mailbox internal variables

```
uint32 t psa framework version(void)
    mailbox_msg_handle_t handle;
    . . .
    if (tfm_ns_multi_core_lock_acquire() != OS_WRAPPER_SUCCESS) {
        return PSA VERSION NONE;
    }
    handle = tfm ns mailbox tx client req(...);
    . . .
    mailbox wait reply(handle);
    ret = tfm ns mailbox rx client reply(handle, ...);
    . . .
    if (tfm_ns_multi_core_lock_release() != OS_WRAPPER_SUCCESS) {
        return PSA VERSION NONE;
    }
    return version;
```



### Re-organize NS mailbox dependencies on RTOS

Decouple ROTS specific impl. from Platform and common NS mailbox

- Define NS mailbox RTOS API
  - tfm\_ns\_mailbox\_os\_xxx()
  - Decoupled from platform HAL and common NS mailbox
    - Thread mgmt. is moved out from platform impl.
    - Semaphores are moved out from multi-core API
- NS mailbox RTOS APIs implementation
  - tfm\_ns\_mailbox\_rtos\_api.c as a reference
    - Can be directly replaced with RTOS specific impl.
  - RTOS API wrapper becomes optional



### Easier integration with RTOS on platforms

- Platform specific mailbox HW impl.
  - Implemented by platform partners
  - Implemented under platform folder in TF-M
- RTOS support to NS mailbox
  - Implemented by application developers or platform partners according to actual usage scenarios
  - Maintain a dedicated .c for each RTOS



# **CIM** Enhance NS mailbox working model

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

© 2020 Arm Limited (or its affiliates)

### Extra port effort in a more complex usage scenario

- NS MPU enabled to isolate NS applications
  - Difficult to specify mailbox static objects addresses in application thread MPU regions
  - Modify common NS mailbox impl. to insert SVCs
  - Different SVC handler hacks in various RTOSs
- Goal: Support NS thread isolation more easily





### A new NS mailbox working model

Besides existing NS mailbox implementation

- A dedicated NS mailbox thread assigned
  - Execute mailbox\_thread\_runner()
  - Receive requests from application threads via RTOS message queue
  - Wait if mailbox queue is full
- Simplify RTOS port for thread isolation
  - No explicit SVC is required
    - No longer necessary to hack common NS mailbox or RTOS
  - Get rid of semaphores
- Fit more in RTOS/OS thread mgmt.
  - Mailbox dedicated thread can run in privileged mode
  - Application threads can be isolated
    - Each thread maintains its own set of resource during NS mailbox handling



### Refine NS mailbox working model configuration

• Clarify the responsibilities of platform implementation and NS integration





Current status

+ + + + + + + + + + + + +

+ + + + + + + + + + + + + +

+ + + + + + + + + + + + +

### **Current status**

- Patches under review
  - Enhancement
  - <u>New NS mailbox working model</u>
- Collecting feedback from partners for actual usage scenarios
  - Comments and suggestions are welcome
- NS mailbox enhancement
  - Looking forward to achieving approval
- NS mailbox working model with a dedicated thread
  - Further discussion if necessary

| + | + | + | + | + | + | + . | + . | + - | F . | + | + - | <br>L | £ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|-----|-------|---|
|   |   |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |     |   |     |       |   |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |     |   |     |       |   |

+

|   |            | r'n            |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | * Thank You |
|---|------------|----------------|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------|
| + | +          | +              |                | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | Danke       |
|   |            |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | Merci       |
|   |            |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | , , 谢谢      |
|   |            |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | ありがとう       |
|   |            |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | • Gracias   |
|   |            |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | Kiitos      |
|   |            |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | 감사합니다       |
|   |            |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | धन्यवाद     |
|   |            |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | شکرًا       |
|   |            |                | +              |   | + | + |   |   | + |   | + | ধন্যবাদ     |
|   |            |                |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | תודה        |
|   | © 2020 Arm | Limited (or it | ts affiliates) |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |             |

+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +



## Backup slides

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + +

+ + + + + + + + + + + + + +

### Quantitative results comparison

- Latency or throughput is not affected in this proposal
  - Compared to current implementation
  - Although performance is not the main purpose in this proposal

|                   |                                    | Current Impl. | Enhancement | Dedicated thread |  |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|--|
|                   | Total nr of threads                | 7             | 7           | 7                |  |
| Linhausinhaas     | Nr of pending slots in average     | 2.5           | 2.9         | 1.7              |  |
| Lightweight test  | Ticks cost in each PSA client call | 0.2           | 0.1         | 8.5              |  |
|                   | Ticks cost in total                | 820           | 715         | 30761            |  |
|                   | Total nr of threads                | 5             | 5           | 5                |  |
|                   | Nr of pending slots in average     | 3.8           | 3.9         | 3.8              |  |
| Heavyweight test  | Ticks cost in each round           | 699.3         | 697.1       | 698.1            |  |
|                   | Ticks cost in total                | 335710        | 334632      | 335109           |  |
|                   | Total nr of threads                | 5             | 5           | 5                |  |
| Out of Ordentest  | Nr of pending slots in average     | 2.8           | 2.8         | 2.6              |  |
| Out-oi-Order test | Ticks cost in each round           | 11.8          | 11.0        | 12.0             |  |
|                   | Ticks cost in total                | 31450         | 29452       | 32000            |  |

Based on TF-Mv1.2.0 TF-M multi-core tests running on Cypress PSoC 64 Total 4 mailbox queue slots



### Further security consideration

Not implemented yet

- "Boomerang" attack
  - SPE is unaware of corresponding NSPE isolation configs
  - NS malicious app cheats SPE to access other NS thread area or NS privileged area, bypassing NSPE MPU HW
- NS memory check in NS mailbox
  - If required by usage scenario thread model
  - Essential check: an unprivileged app provides addresses belonging to privileged areas.
  - Advanced check: an app provides addresses not belonging to itself
    - Highly depends on platform and RTOS impl.

